

<http://digithum.uoc.edu>

Managing Negative Emotions in Online Collaborative Learning

A multimodal approach to solving technical difficulties*

Simone Belli

Yachay Tech

Date of submission: June 2018

Accepted in: June 2018

Published in: July 2018

RECOMMENDED CITATION

BELLI, Simone (2018). "Managing Negative Emotions in Online Collaborative Learning. A multimodal approach to solving technical difficulties" [online article]. *Digithum*, no. 22, pp. 1-12. Universitat Oberta de Catalunya and Universidad de Antioquia.

<<http://doi.org/10.7238/d.v0i22.3140>>



The texts published in this journal are – unless otherwise indicated – covered by the Creative Commons Spain Attribution 4.0 International licence. The full text of the licence can be consulted here: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to identify how participants manage technical difficulties during online collaborative learning. We analyze the participation framework in a corpus composed of 30 hours of online collaborative learning among students of an Andean university, their professor, and international experts. The internet-based IT platform used was ZOOM. We present a multimodal interaction of verbal and body language in collaborative activity for the analysis of moment-by-moment evolving social interaction. Also using conversation analysis, we focus on the ways in which participants interact with their words and their non-lexical expression. Thanks to this methodology, we describe the moment-by-moment interactional work performed in collaborative activity.

We have observed how technical difficulties generate social unrest and negative emotions shared among participants. In many cases, these difficulties generate conflicts between participants. We describe how negative emotions are shown in mixed contexts, and how users solved these during online collaborative learning. This study contributes to previous knowledge on the importance of multimodal interaction in displaying engagement and organizing courses of action in meeting settings by analyzing the multimodal construction of one specific situation, that is, a conflict caused by technical issues and managed between users.

Keywords

online collaborative learning, technical problems, multimodal interaction, negative emotions

* This issue was supported by the Open University of Catalonia and by special funds for university journals from the Research Vice Chancellor Office of University of Antioquia

Manejo de las emociones negativas en el aprendizaje colaborativo en línea

Un enfoque multimodal a la resolución de dificultades técnicas

Resumen

El propósito del presente trabajo es identificar la manera en que los participantes manejan las dificultades técnicas durante el aprendizaje colaborativo en línea. Analizamos el marco de participación en un corpus de 30 horas de aprendizaje colaborativo en línea entre estudiantes de una universidad andina, su profesor y expertos internacionales. La plataforma informática en línea utilizada fue ZOOM. Presentamos una interacción multimodal del lenguaje verbal y corporal en la actividad colaborativa para el análisis momento a momento de la interacción social en su evolución. Asimismo, mediante el análisis conversacional, nos concentramos en las formas en que los participantes interactúan con sus palabras y su expresión no verbal. Gracias a esta metodología, describimos momento a momento el trabajo de interacción llevado a cabo en la actividad colaborativa.

Observamos cómo las dificultades técnicas generan malestar social y emociones negativas compartidas entre los participantes. En muchos casos, estas dificultades generan conflictos entre ellos. Describimos la manera en que se mostraron las emociones negativas en contextos mixtos y cómo lidiaron con ellas los usuarios durante el aprendizaje colaborativo en línea. Este estudio hace una contribución al conocimiento preexistente acerca de la importancia de la interacción multimodal para mostrar compromiso y organizar formas de proceder en entornos de reuniones, analizando la construcción multimodal de una situación específica, esto es, un conflicto causado por problemas técnicos y manejados entre usuarios.

Palabras clave

aprendizaje colaborativo en línea, problemas técnicos, interacción multimodal, emociones negativas

Introduction

During online collaborative learning meetings (Kaye, 1992; McConnell, 1994; Macdonald, 2003; O'Conaill et al., 1993), internet connectivity is often not fast enough, and users end up experiencing critical technical difficulties. The purpose of this paper is to identify how participants manage this situation during online collaborative learning. We analyze the participation framework in a corpus composed of 30 hours of online collaborative learning among students of an Andean university, their professor and international experts. In these online collaborative learning, students present their projects on Innovation and Entrepreneurship to experts in this field based in a UK university. The internet-based IT platform used was ZOOM.

We present a multimodal interaction (Goodwin, 1981; Stivers and Sidnell, 2005; Mondada, 2006; Becvar, Hollan and Hutchins, 2008) of verbal and body language in collaborative activity (Bavelas and Chovil, 1997) for the analysis of moment-by-moment evolving social interaction. Also using conversation analysis (Peräkylä, 2004; Rossano, 2012; Tiitinen and Ruusuvuori, 2014), we focus on the ways in which participants interact with their words and their non-lexical expression (gaze, gestures, prosody). Thanks to this methodology, we describe the moment-by-moment

interactional work performed in collaborative activity (Peräkylä and Ruusuvuori, 2006; Goodwin, 1981, 2007).

The multimodal interactional practice has been widely studied in institutional encounters, for example, in news interviews (Heritage, 1985), meetings talk (Barnes, 2007), and in counseling and therapy encounters (Peräkylä, Antaki, Leudar, 2008; Hutchby, 2005; Tiitinen and Ruusuvuori, 2014; Weiste and Peräkylä, 2013).

We have observed in this study how technical difficulties, like a slow internet connection or technical difficulties, generate negative emotions shared between participants. In many cases, these difficulties generate conflicts between participants. We describe how negative emotions are shown in online contexts, and how users solved these during meetings.

Methods

We analyze stress, concern, and unrest in a corpus composed of 30 hours of online collaborative learning among students of an Andean university, with their professors and tutors. In these online collaborative learning, students present their projects on Innovation and Entrepreneurship to experts in this field based in UK universities. The internet-based IT platform used was ZOOM.¹

1. Visit: <<https://zoom.us/>>.

<http://digithum.uoc.edu>

The meetings were recorded on the same IT platform composed of 32 students, two teachers at the host university and two experts in Innovation from a university in the UK.

We present an analysis of verbal and body language, focusing in moment-by-moment evolving social interaction. Also using conversation analysis (Peräkylä, 2004; Stivers and Sidnell, 2005), we focus on the ways in which participants' facial expression interact with their words and their non-lexical expression (gaze, gestures). We analyze the sequences of interaction in which users show negative emotions. Conversation analysis can produce helpful observations relating to the display of emotional states. Thanks to this methodology, we describe the moment-by-moment interactional role played by facial expression in a particular conversation (Peräkylä and Ruusuvuori, 2006). We analyze how participants manage a conflict caused by technical problems and slow internet connection.

In its most straightforward course, the sequence of steps that interests us is: (a) negative emotions are shared among participants, (b) participants identify the problem (i.e. slow connection), (c) participants try to manage this, and finally (d) find a solution to manage the problem. This is our "step-by-step movement into advice giving" following a similar course of action described by Heritage and Sefi (1992, p. 377-389).

We recognize the technical problems occurring in online collaborative learning, through the negative emotions expressed by participants. In this range of negative emotion caused by slow internet connection and technical difficulties, we focus on stress, concern and social unrest. These negative emotions are causes of affective conflicts in meetings. Conflict resolution, planning, or negotiation, where the ambiguity of the information and the requirement for rapid clarification and feedback are critical for the success of the interaction (Daft and Lengel, 1984; Whittaker, 1992). The lack of a prompt feedback from the teachers is certainly a major source of negative emotions like frustration (Hara and Kling, 1999). We understand these emotions as a way to access the meaning patterns that users apply in their relationship with technologies (Fortunati and Vincent, 2009). Through technologies, emotions are expanded, molded, stereotyped, reinvented and also sacrificed, because they must submit to technological limits and languages (Merino, 2017). In a previous study, Dziubinska and Opoka (2007) have observed how students in digital platform often are isolated, insecure, anxious, and frustrated.

We will work on emotions from a relational perspective, focusing our attention on the relationship with others and the context (Cantó-Milà, 2016). As in Hochschild (1979), we will observe how certain emotions in certain social contexts are created, the so called "feeling rules".

Students use English, their second language, to communicate with the professors and experts in the meeting, but when they experience problems, they switch to Spanish, their first language, to manage the conflict between them. Data were transcribed

Managing Negative Emotions in Online Collaborative Learning

according to a system for capturing the auditory details of conversation designed by Gail Jefferson (Sacks et al., 1974) and a system for recording gestures devised by Goodwin (1981). Not everything visible in the video needs to be transcribed, but just what is analytically relevant.

Negative emotions in online contexts

In this section, we show a meeting composed of five students connected from their university library, the Innovation and Entrepreneurship professor from his office, and two experts from their houses in the United Kingdom. These types of meetings are organized by professors and experts every Friday morning at 16:00 (GMT) during the semester. Every Friday, two groups of students have half an hour each to present their Innovation and Entrepreneurship projects and receive valued feedback by the experts.

During the first meeting at 16:00h, a student from the second group (programmed at 16:30) tries to connect, and a new user appears in the IT platform window (Figure 1).

Figure 1 Top-left First group of students; Top-middle Teacher; Top-right Expert 1; Bottom-left Expert 2; Bottom-right Student from Second Group.



In the bottom-right window, a student from the second group from his classroom tries to connect to the IT platform to know if the digital infrastructure works correctly. Meanwhile, participants experience some problems with the connection, the conversation is not fluent, and negative emotions begin to manifest in the participants.

The local professor in a private chat tries to communicate with the uninvited student for this session. The following extract comes from the chat room of this IT platform. For this reason, it is not codified using Jeffersonian transcription.

Chat Extract 8:1

10:40:21 From Professor to Student from Second Group (privately): Hola

Hi

10:40:29 vosotros no estáis citados a esta reunión
you are not invited to this meeting

<http://digithum.uoc.edu>

10:40:43 por favor, dejad el espacio libre
please, leave the room place

10:40:47 estamos en una reunión
we are in a meeting

The local professor experiences concern about not having a successful meeting between students and experts due to a slow connection. Finally, the uninvited student understands the situation and disconnects from the IT platform, but problems in the meeting persist.

At the same time, while the local professor writes to the uninvited student, the rest of the participants experience negative emotions and a slow internet connection, which causes a shared and social unrest between them.

Extract 7:9 - 1

1. ((During this extract, there is a strong echo, with a delay of 0.7))
2. Expert 1: Yeah (0.4) environmental (hhh) processes ha:ve: (1.0)
3. First Group (Angel): Environmental (.) processes? (1.0)
4. E1: ((Puts his right hand close to his right ear and move in front. Fig.2-
5. 3)) (0.2) >↑Funny noise<
6. Teacher: =There is a
7. I ot of echoes (0.8)

During this first part of the meeting an annoying echo makes the conversation difficult. Expert 1 tries to give feedback to the students with a limited result. In lines 2 and 3, we observe how the delay in communication causes words repetition. Participants are experiencing a situation of stress caused by echoes. Stress emerges as an achieved phenomena and a members' concern, anyway in which members of the setting orient to notions of 'stress' themselves. As in Merino (2017), users experience comfort, frustration, nostalgia or stress in their relationship with the digital platform. These negative emotions can affect learning and in some cases, motivate the abandonment of the student (Hara and Kling, 1999; Borges Sáiz, 2005).

To express this first negative emotion, E1 makes a movement with his hand to show the limited audio that he experiences from his place (Fig. 2 - 3). Audio is a rich and varied communication medium whose potential goes far beyond simply carrying words (Nardi et al., 1996), when this is disturbed or noisy, participants try to find a solution of the problem using parts of their bodies.

In lines 6 and 7 the professor immediately accompanies the expert's gesture and speech, identifying the technical problem they are experiencing: the echo. The sequential organization of talk-in-interaction which constitutes what the teacher says in line 6 as a conditionally relevant description of the gesture by the expert in line 4 (Schegloff, 1968; Sacks, 1992; Goodwin, 2007).

Managing Negative Emotions in Online Collaborative Learning

Figure 2 Expert 1 puts his right hand close to his right ear and moves in front (first part).



Figure 3 Expert 1 puts his right hand close to his right ear and moves in front (second part).



The participation framework (Goodwin, 2003, 2007; Goodwin and Goodwin, 2004) constituted through the mutual alignment of the participants' bodies creates a dynamic frame that indexically grounds the talk and embodies action occurring within it (Kendon, 1985). For Goodwin (2007), the basis for the framework of joint attention, in which multiple actors are attending to the same object in the environment, is the solution of the technical problem. What Tomasello (1999) locates as central to the organization of human language and intersubjectivity.

The professor's action in lines 6 and 7 contains a range of forms of organization and of regulation (Goodwin, 2007) for the meeting. Thanks to his action, he can manage the conversation to solve a problem shown through hand movements by the expert. Stress and social unrest are shared among participants; they are carrying out courses of joint action in meeting each other. To manage the meeting, it is necessary to manage these negative emotions to continue the collaborative activity. Fear of eavesdropping and unwished-for video recording may reduce the effectiveness of a collaborative multimedia system, impair

http://digithum.uoc.edu

Managing Negative Emotions in Online Collaborative Learning

interpersonal communication, and increase stress levels in the workplace (Nardi et al., 1996). In order to construct a successful meeting, participants attend to the details of emerging talk (lines 6-7). Following Goodwin (2007), the talk and gestures are framed by arrangements of the participants that create a shared focus for the activity and action.

During the meeting, participants continue to experience the technical problem, talk is continuously interrupted, and gesture, mixed with echoes, becomes chaotic. Joint action between participants fails, and the conversation is 'disorderly'. Although conversationalists work to establish and maintain order, that is what CA documents comprehensively, when talk appears 'disorderly' we see methods of talk that are used to attempt to manage that disorder and repair it in some way. They tend to be constructions of the analyst rather than looking to the ways in which members themselves orient towards and account for order/disorder as interactional matters between themselves.

Extract 7:9 - 2

- 8. FG (A): ((Puts his left-hand fingers in the middle of his lips. Fig. 4))
- 9. (0.2) It is all, well, it is-
- 10. E1: Yeah? OK
- 11. FG (A): >later later< the word::
- 12. E1: =I say an example (.) example of (.) of ((inaudible))
- 13. Expert 2: ((touches her hair. Figure 7)) I think ((inaudible)) Simon
- 14. FG (A): [[[inaudible]]] ((inaudible)) (1.2)
- 15. FG (Sara): ((Touches her chin for 40 seconds))
- 16. E2: ((Puts her right hand in her neck and remains in this position
- 17. throughout the extract. Fig. 8))
- 18. E1: ((Inaudible)) OK (0.7) the microphone (1.5)
- 19. FG: ((Students speak Spanish between them)) (1.0)

The problem is identified (*the microphone*, line 18), but participants enter in a chaotic situation. It becomes too hard to listen to each other, the main dialogue is lost, inaudible words are the huge portion of the talk. We observe how the teacher, who managed the meeting before, now disappears and doesn't try to enter into the dialogue.


The gesture of touching the head with the hand has been observed during this and other meetings (Fig. 4) where the situation provoked stress. Gestures embodied the social unrest shared by users and cannot help to manage the situation. The multimodal action is not efficacious in this part of the meeting, because it occurs without an embodied participation framework that creates a visible attention and action. Contextual configurations of a standard online collaborative learning are modified.

Figure 4 Top-left Angel puts his left-hand fingers in the middle of his lips. More examples of gestures to express stress during the meeting.



For Goodwin (2000a), a contextual configuration is a set of different kinds of phenomena that participants treat as relevant to the organization of the action. An online collaborative learning (like a traditional collaborative reading) needs participants, language and gestures, and a shared environment. They represent the multimodal details (Mondada, 2006) to analyze the social interaction between participants (Fig. 5). In this part of the meeting, technical problems are caused by the shared environment, a technical infrastructure (laptop, webcams, ICT-platform, internet connection, etc.) that makes the activity possible. When something of this infrastructure doesn't work properly, the entire environment fails, and the activity fails. Participants try to repair this fall with talk and gestures –the other three components of the multimodal details– to offer a solution.

Figure 5 Multimodal details for an online collaborative learning.



The group of students has an advantage with respect to the shared environment of the rest of the participants: they can talk between them in the same physical and offline environment. They start

<http://digithum.uoc.edu>

getting feedback through face-to-face modality, managing the technical problem in an offline social action. When expert 1 offers a possible cause of the problem (ex. 7-9 2, l.18), they begin to talk to each other in their first language, Spanish (l.19). Language, another multimodal detail, is another extra tool for the students group to manage the problem. They switch from their second language to their first language.

This interactive organization of embodied participation constructed by talk and gestures is the basis of the collaborative activity. It represents a demonstration of the work of participants and environment to show the cooperation in the joint accomplishment of the activity in progress (Goodwin, 2007). A collaborative and cooperative approach between participants is necessary to solve the problem in this meeting. In an online collaborative learning, as in an offline collaborative learning, cooperation, or noncooperation, in the participation invoked by a particular activity, provides a shared environment for the visible emergence of emotions. Emotions and abilities to cooperate are harder to show when technical difficulties appear. Stress is expressed with talk and gestures to share these feelings with the rest of participants.

Extract 7:9 - 3

20. ((A chat message from T arrives to FG's laptop and Jordy comes closer to
the laptop to read it. Fig.6))
21. the laptop to read it. Fig.6))
22. T: Hey Jordy
23. FG (J): ↑Si (0.3)
↑Yes
24. T: necesitáis mejorar el sonido ((touches his forehead with his right hand. Fig.6)) porque escuchamos mucho eco (0.3)
You need to improve the sound because we hear a lot of echo
25. FG (J): Vale ((he reads the chat message from E1)) Try muting your OK
OK
26. ((FG Amalia and Paul touch their heads))

In this extract, two chat conversations appear; one from the professor, and the other one from expert 1. The shared environment, as we saw in extract 8:1, is a multimodal platform to communicate between participants, through to the webcam and keyboard. A webcam and video meeting requires a strong internet connection, but textual meeting in a chat room requires a normal connection. Users in a textual conversation use keyboards.

Chat extract 8:4

- 10:47:53 From T to FG (privately): Hey! Necesitáis mejorar vuestro sonido!!!
Hey! You need to improve your sound!!!

Managing Negative Emotions in Online Collaborative Learning

- 10:48:08 From E1 (public): try muting your microphone
10:48:29 From FG to T (privately): AHORA
NOW
10:48:33 ?????
10:49:24 From T to FG (privately): mucho mejor
much better

In this chat extract, the professor messages students in a private chat the same message that he has shared in line 24 of the extract 7:9 (improve the sound), changing the communication channel. Expert 1 adopts the same strategy, repeating the same information in these two environments, chat and webcam (the problem is the microphone).

Participation framework

Participants in online collaborative learning adapt their strategies depending on the technical difficulties. In our case, cooperation starts between participants in a multimodal interaction. Students communicate using four different channels:

1. The video and voice meeting between each participant (high degree of connection difficulties);
2. The public chat room between each participant (low degree of connection difficulties);
3. The private chat room between professor and them (low degree of connection difficulties);
4. The physical space of the library (not affected by connection difficulties).

Cooperation to manage the conflict in a meeting is a multimodal interaction using different platforms, moving between online and offline environments. This provides some demonstration of both the importance of this framework for the interactive organization of action, and of the active work required to sustain it

Figure 6. Jordy goes close to the laptop to read the chat messages. Teacher touches his forehead with the right hand.




<http://digithum.uoc.edu>

(Goodwin, 2007). As Goodwin says, cooperation in the construction of relevant embodied stances is in general unproblematic. Indeed, participation frameworks seem designed specifically to focus attention on the events occurring within the frames they create, not on their own organization (Kendon, 1985). As in Dziubinska and Opoka (2007), effective communication between students in a digital platform helps create a cooperative learning environment. Prendergast (2003) affirms that where people have tried to produce courses without the necessary CSCL (computer-supported collaborative learning) 'hands on' practice, they failed.

In the Fig. 6, Jordy is reading the chat messages and tries to solve the technical problem. The professor touches his forehead with his right hand, worried about solving the problem and sharing information with Jordy through talk (line 24-25), chat message (10:47:53) and gesture (Fig. 6). Jordy is responding to the professor

Figure 7 Group mates' embodied behavior



Managing Negative Emotions in Online Collaborative Learning

with "Si" (line 19, Yes) and "Vale" (line 22, OK), to confirm that he understands what the problem is. "Vale" presupposes a version of "yes" as an answer. This co-participation in the contextual frame created by Jordy's immediately prior action is displayed not only in the content of what is said in lines 23 and 25, but also through group mates' embodied behavior (Fig. 7).

While Jordy tries to solve the technical problem with the microphone, Paul indicates with his left finger where the modem is positioned in the room of the library. Angel follows with the gaze being the indication. Later Angel follows Jordy to get closer to the laptop, the same action is repeated by the rest of the classmates, Amalia and Paul. Rossano (2012) has demonstrated that gaze is organized in relation to "participants' understanding of where they are in a course of action. The participants' gaze direction during both online and offline collaborative learning is relevant with regard to the association between formulating and constituting the action of dealing with the problems in the shared environment.

The student's continuous gaze at the classmate displays his orientation to the course of action not being complete and his engagement in receiving more talk about the problem (Goodwin, 1981; Ruusuvuori, 2001; Rossano, 2012). Head turning and eye gaze play an important role in speaker switching (Duncan, 1972) and that both these behaviours are reliant on directionality (O'Conaill et al., 1993). These two specific features, focusing on the problem-relevant aspect of the user's preceding problem-indicative utterance, and orienting to receiving more talk about the problem by leaving space after the formulation and by gazing at the participants at turn transitions, are following the formulation and classmates' confirming response (Tiitinen and Ruusuvuori, 2014). Speakers tend to show explicitness in managing turn switching (O'Conaill et al., 1993). The embodied alignment found at line 29 of the next extract will attend to what teacher and expert 1 were communicating between them.

Extract 7:9 - 4

27. E1: OK >just listen< (1.1) so ((inaudible))
28. FG (J): Ahora no (4.0)
Not now
29. ((FG move to the laptop where Jordy has been until now and begins to speak between them))
30. ((The echo disappeared and the technical problem is solved)) (1)
31. GF (A): OK, OK mister, you
32. E1: ((touches his fore head with his right hand and remains in this position
33. until the end of the extract. Fig.7))=I do this, the example of
34. environmental businesses where: the

<http://digithum.uoc.edu>

Managing Negative Emotions in Online Collaborative Learning

Collaborative action is notable in line 29, students have marked co-participation in activity by agreeing that they want the professor and experts to help them to solve the technical problem. Participants have to know how to solve technical problems in a collaborative way to not generate frustration or isolation in these learning activities (Borges Sáiz, 2005).

In line 27 expert 1 makes further demands upon students just to listen to him, but Jordy does not stop his activity to try to solve the problem and continues the task. In line 28 he confirms that he was continuing the previous action, and he cannot just stop now. It is not a battle between them or a lack of collaborative action, but a help to solve the technical problem, thanks to Angel's collaboration; an embodied activity that occurs through talk and gestures. Jordy and Angel cooperate together to continue a collaborative activity with teacher and experts, organizing their actions in ways that make relevant particular forms of alignment from their addressee.

As in Serra (2015), the critical attention and the emotional participation of the students empower them and enhance their mutual relationships, in what the author calls "breathing together". Students have moved their bodies closer to the laptop where they can appropriately communicate what both professor and expert are proposing to do between them. They move, stand up and manage the setting of the laptop (Fig. 7), something that Goodwin (2007) classifies as instrumentally. In this case, putting their bodies

close together and close to the laptop is a success. The body position of expert 2 in Figures 7-8-9 is completely different. Expert 2 aligns her hand close to the head, maintaining this position for several minutes, showing her concern due to technical difficulties.

Following Goffman's analysis (1979) of 'footing' through how participants mutually position their bodies, we can consider how Expert 2 after some words, touches her hair and later puts a hand on her neck (Figure 8). She maintains the same position until the problem is solved. In order to carry out relevant courses of action, participants must position themselves to see, feel and perceive the activities in progress (Goodwin, 2007). She arranges her posture precisely to accomplish such work-relevant perception attention to share concern in the meeting, perceiving environment and participants. These "feeling rules" (Hochschild, 1979) help the subject to feel herself in relation to others, immersed in the relational context.

Goodwin (2007) argues that parts of the history of science, technology and distributed cognition have consisted in the construction of tools that amplify and systematize human perception of an environment that is the focus of the collaborative activity. So, following this idea, it is possible to describe how Expert 2 feels about the digital and technological shared environment and the problems that it causes to the participants. Participants embody the technical issues that their bodies experiment in the environment.

Figure 8. Expert 2 touches her hair and puts her right hand around her neck and remains in this position throughout the extract



Extract 7:2

34. T: Simon, ↑Karen (0.2) questions::

35. E2: mmhh

36. FG (A): ((Puts his head in his hands, scratching it))

37. T: pointing (0.5)

38. E2: ((She starts to scratch her head with her right hand, and continues

39. for 9 seconds. Fig. 9)) Yeah I ha I have some troubles
↑understanding

40. everything many many because eh:m: the:: acoustics in
the room (0.5) the

41. team in: in: is a ehm little:: little ((The student that wasn't
invited

42. appears in the window trying to check if the platform
works)) difficult to

43. always unders↑tand but, ehmm I think eehmm me I think
you are >trying to

44. find material<

This extract appears before the extracts that we have presented previously (7;9-4), but it is useful to us to explain instrumentally. While scratching her head, expert 2 describes the impossibility of following the meeting because of technical difficulties caused by student connection. She puts the part of her body (gesture) that appears in the online collaborative learning (shared environment)

http://digithum.uoc.edu

Managing Negative Emotions in Online Collaborative Learning

Figure 9. Expert 2 starts to scratch her head with her right hand and continues for 9 seconds



and explains what has happened (language) to demonstrate to the other participants that the embodied positioning required to understand what the technical difficulties provoke in her, elaborating an appropriate epistemic alignment.

Situations like this occur repetitively during meetings, where participants align to the activity in the way that she proposes, and these are relevant to constructing a cooperative stance that we have presented in extracts 7:9-3 and 7:9-4. Goodwin (2007) notes that aligning appropriately toward others to build the participation frameworks that organize collaborative activities is absolutely central to the ongoing constitution in cooperation.

The interesting point in this participation framework is the trust shared between participants. For Garfinkel (1967) the efforts to breach trust in cooperative stances that underlie mundane cognition and action were met with intense anger – one of the negative emotions that we have observed during our meetings and that has accompanied other negative emotions like stress. Goodwin (2007) found that moral stance becomes visible when an actor refuses to assume a cooperative stance toward the actions initiated by others and can thus generate specific forms of affective stance. We have observed how these negative emotions have caused this situation. In Extract 8:1, Extract 7:9 – 1 and Extract 7:2, we have observed how participants have expressed negative emotions that affect the collaborative activity. Professor and expert 2 experience concern, expert 1 experiences unrest and students experience stress. All negative emotions together produced chaos and a lack of cooperation in the first stage. When participants examine and understand what is happening (a technical difficulty, a slow internet connection or a problem with the microphone),

they try to provide a multiparty and interactive framework that includes them not only as actors, but also as recipients of the action (see Table 1).

Table 1

Extract	line	Actor		Addressee	
7:9 - 3	20	You	need to improve the sound because	we	hear a lot of echo
7:9 - 4	24	(you)	just listen	(me)	so
8:1	10:40:29	you	<i>are not invited to this meeting please, leave free place</i>	we	<i>are in a meeting</i>

Participants use the grammatical organization of the utterances to complain about students from the first group and from the second group, located not as isolated individuals, but rather in how they treat others within interaction. The professor's construal of what students from the first group and second group are doing and displaying with their current actions is consistent with the analysis offered before, which investigates the interactive organization of participation frameworks as a primordial locus for the constitution of human action (Goodwin, 2007). Both professor and students are locked in a battle where each is insisting on the framework for the organization of the activity. But meetings and disputes, can be resolved in multiple ways.

Discussion

For Goodwin (2007), the failure to assume such cooperative stances can lead to stress and attributions of character that use the way a participant treats others within interactions as their point of departure. So we understand how participation frameworks in online collaborative learning are intrinsically multiparty alignments. Participants in the meeting find it useful to help to construct and sustain the activity. Participation frameworks sustain mutual orientation.

In online collaborative learning, participants organize their language and gestures in conjunction with each other in ways that establish a public, shared focus of visual and emotional attention. Following Goodwin (2007), we can assert that the visible structure of such participation frameworks enables separate individuals to build joint action together in ways that take account of both relevant structure in the environment which is the focus of their work and of what each other is doing. As seen in Figure 7, such

<http://digithum.uoc.edu>

arrangements are crucial for the cooperation in a wide variety of settings.

The multimodal frameworks for the management of these situations, create environmental gestures and language and consequential structure in the shared environment that is the focus of the participants' attention: the IT platform. As in Cantó-Milà (2016), we look for emotions in different places, placing us in a more or less stable position in the social structure; one of these can be digital.

Students use an innovative formulation not to topicalize the problem, but on the contrary, to close the problem down with classmates. Students, the professor and expert use chat conversations to try to solve a problem and continue the meeting through video and audio infrastructure.

Cooperation to manage the conflict in an online collaborative learning is a multimodal interaction. In this paper, we have presented a dynamic in online collaborative learning with slow Internet connection and technical difficulties, to manage conflict and negative emotions caused by them. Two interaction features were demonstrated to be relevant for this dynamic: (a) conflict caused by technical issues, and (b) conflict managed through interaction between users. We have observed in this study how technical difficulties generate negative emotions amongst participants. In many cases, these difficulties generate conflicts between participants.

In this article, we have also demonstrated the relevance of a fine-grained interplay between conflict managed and multimodal interaction. Our observations are in line with previous studies that have suggested the relevance of gestures and language for displaying orientation toward the ongoing action (Goodwin, 1979, 1980, 1981; Heath, 1984; Mondada, 2006; Rossano, 2012; Ruusuvuori, 2001; Tiitinen and Ruusuvuori, 2014).

This study contributes to this previous knowledge on the importance of multimodal interaction in displaying engagement and organizing courses of action in meeting settings by analyzing the multimodal construction of one specific situation, that is, a conflict caused by technical issues and managed between users.

References

- BARNES, R. (2007). "Formulations and the facilitation of common agreement in meetings talk". *Text & Talk-An Interdisciplinary Journal of Language, Discourse Communication Studies*, 27(3), 273-296. <<https://doi.org/10.1515/TEXT.2007.011>>
- BAVELAS, J.B.; CHOUIL, N. (2000). "Visible acts of meaning. An integrated message model of language in face-to-face dialogue". *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 19 (2), 163-94. <<https://doi.org/10.1177/0261927X00019002001>>
- BEČVAR, A.; HOLLAN, J.; HUTCHINS, E. (2008). "Representational gestures as cognitive artifacts for developing theories in a scientific laboratory". In: *Resources, Co-Evolution and Artifacts* (117-143). Springer London.
- BORGES SÁIZ, F. (2005). "La frustración del estudiante en línea. Causas y acciones preventivas". *Digithum*, 7.
- CANTÓ-MILÀ, N. (2016). "Emotions from a relational perspective". *Digithum*, 18, 2-4. <<https://doi.org/10.7238/d.v0i18.2945>>
- DAFT, R.; LENGEL, R. (1984). "Information richness: A new approach to managerial behavior and organizational design". In: B. STRAW and L. CUMMINGS (eds.). *Research in organizational behavior* (191-223). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- DUNCAN, S. (1972). "Some signals and rules for taking speaker turns in conversation". *Journal of Personal and Social Psychology*, 23, 283-292. <<https://doi.org/10.1037/h0033031>>
- DZIUBINSKA, M.; OPOKA, J. (2007). "The online student as a driving force in the transformation of the Polish Virtual University". *Digithum*, 9. <<https://doi.org/10.7238/d.v0i9.521>>
- FORTUNATI, L.; VINCENT, J. (2009). "Introduction". In: J. VINCENT and L. FORTUNATI (eds.). *Electronic emotion. The mediation of emotion via information and communication technologies*. Bern: Peter Lang, 1-31.
- GARFINKEL, H. (1967). *Studies in Ethnomethodology*. Prentice Hall, New York.
- GOFFMAN, E. (1979). "Footing". *Semiotica*, 25(1-2), 1-30. <<https://doi.org/10.1515/semi.1979.25.1-2.1>>
- GOODWIN, C. (1979). "The interactive construction of a sentence in natural conversation". *Everyday language: Studies in ethnomethodology*, 97-121. <<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-682X.1980.tb00024.x>>
- GOODWIN, M. H. (1980). "Processes of mutual monitoring implicated in the production of description sequences". *Sociological inquiry*, 50(3-4), 303-317.
- GOODWIN, C. (1981). *Conversational organization: Interaction between speakers and hearers*. Academic Press.
- GOODWIN, C. (2000a). "Action and embodiment within situated human interaction". *Journal of pragmatics*, 32(10), 1489-1522.
- GOODWIN, C. (2003). "Pointing as situated practice". *Pointing: Where language, culture and cognition meet*, 217-241.
- GOODWIN, C. (2007). "Participation, stance and affect in the organization of activities". *Discourse & Society*, 18(1), 53-73.
- GOODWIN, C.; GOODWIN, M. H. (2004). "Participation". In: A. DURANTI (ed.). *A Companion to Linguistic Anthropology*, 222-243. Oxford: Basil Blackwell.
- HARA, N.; KLING, R. (1999). "Students' frustration with a web-based distance education course". *First Monday*, vol. 4, no 12. <<https://doi.org/10.5210/fm.v4i12.710>>
- HEATH, C. (1997). "The analysis of activities in face to face interaction using video". *Qualitative research: Theory, method and practice*, 183-200.

Managing Negative Emotions in Online Collaborative Learning

<http://digithum.uoc.edu>

Managing Negative Emotions in Online Collaborative Learning

- HERITAGE, J. (2002). "The limits of questioning: Negative interrogatives and hostile question content". *Journal of pragmatics*, 34(10), 1427-1446. <[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-2166\(02\)00072-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-2166(02)00072-3)>
- HERITAGE, J.; SEFI, S. (1992). *Talk at work* (359-419). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- HOCHSCHILD, A. (1979). "Emotion work, feeling rules and social structure". *The American Journal of Sociology*, 85 (November), 551-575. <<https://doi.org/10.1086/227049>>
- HUTCHBY, I. (2005). *Media talk: Conversation analysis and the study of broadcasting*. McGraw-Hill Education (UK).
- KAYE, A. R. (Ed.) (1992). *Collaborative learning through computer conferencing*. TheNajaden Papers. NATO ASI Series F. <<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-77684-7>>
- KENDON, A. (1985). "Some uses of gesture". In: O. TANNEN and M. SAVILLE-TROIKE (eds.). *Perspectives on silence* (pp.215-234). Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- MACDONALD, J. (2003). "Assessing online collaborative learning: process and product." *Computers & Education*, 40(4), 377-391. <[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0360-1315\(02\)00168-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0360-1315(02)00168-9)>
- MCCONNELL, D. (1994). *Implementing computer supported co-operative learning*. London: Kogan Page.
- MERINO, L. (2017). "Sobre el apego emocional a los teléfonos móviles en la vida cotidiana". *Digithum*, 19, 37-46. <<https://doi.org/10.7238/d.v0i19.3087>>
- MONDADA, L. (2006). "Participants' online analysis and multimodal practices: projecting the end of the turn and the closing of the sequence". *Discourse studies*, 8(1), 117-129. <<https://doi.org/10.1177/1461445606059561>>
- NARDI, B. [et al.] (1996). "Video-as-Data: Technical and Social Aspects of a Collaborative Multimedia Application". *Computer Supported Cooperative Work*, 4, 73-100.
- O'CONNAILL [et al.] (1993). "Conversations over video conferences: An evaluation of the spoken aspects of video-mediated communication". *Human-Computer Interaction*, 8, 398-428. <https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327051hci0804_4>
- PERÄKYLÄ, A. (2004). "Two traditions of interaction research". *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 43(1), 1-20. <<https://doi.org/10.1348/014466604322915953>>
- PERÄKYLÄ, A.; RUUSUVUORI, J. (2006). "Facial expression in an assessment." *Video analysis methodology and methods: qualitative audiovisual data analysis in sociology*.
- PERÄKYLÄ, A. [et al.] (eds.). (2008). *Conversation analysis and psychotherapy*. Cambridge University Press. <<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511490002>>
- PRENDERGAST, G.A. (2003). "Keeping online student dropout numbers". <<http://www.globaled.com/articles/GerardPrendergast2003.pdf>>
- ROSSANO, M. J. (2012). "The essential role of ritual in the transmission and reinforcement of social norms". *Psychological Bulletin*, 138(3), 529. <<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0027038>>
- RUUSUVUORI, J. (2001). "Looking means listening: coordinating displays of engagement in doctor-patient interaction". *Social science & medicine*, 52(7), 1093-1108. <[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536\(00\)00227-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536(00)00227-6)>
- SACKS, H.; SCHEGLOFF, E. A.; JEFFERSON, G. (1974). "A simplest systematics for the organization of turn-taking for conversation". *language*, 696-735. <<https://doi.org/10.1353/lan.1974.0010>>
- SACKS, H. (1992). "Lectures on conversation". Edited by Gail Jefferson with introductions by Emanuel A. Schegloff.
- SCHEGLOFF, E. A. (1968). "Sequencing in conversational openings". *American anthropologist*, 70(6), 1075-1095. <<https://doi.org/10.1525/aa.1968.70.6.02a00030>>
- SERRA, J. (2015). "A New Approach to Relationships in Live Music: Redefining Emotional Content and Meaning". *Digithum*, 17, 11-19. <<https://doi.org/10.7238/d.v0i17.2635>>
- STIVERS, T.; SIDNELL, J. (2005). "Introduction: multimodal interaction". *Semiotica*, 2005(156), 1-20. <<https://doi.org/10.1515/semi.2005.2005.156.1>>
- TIITINEN, S.; RUUSUVUORI, J. (2014). "Using Formulations and Gaze to Encourage Parents to Talk About Their and Their Children's Health and Well-Being". *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 47(1), 49-68. <<https://doi.org/10.1080/08351813.2014.871805>>
- TOMASELLO, M. (1999). "The human adaptation for culture". *Annual review of anthropology*, 509-529. <<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.anthro.28.1.509>>
- WEISTE, E.; PERÄKYLÄ, A. (2013). "A comparative conversation analytic study of formulations in psychoanalysis and cognitive psychotherapy". *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 46(4), 299-321. <<https://doi.org/10.1080/08351813.2013.839093>>
- WHITTAKER, S. (1992). *Towards a theory of mediated communication*. Unpublished manuscript.

<http://digithum.uoc.edu>

Managing Negative Emotions in Online Collaborative Learning

Simone Belli

(sbelli@yachaytech.edu.ec)

Universidad de Investigación de Tecnología Experimental Yachay (Quito)

Simone Belli (Bergamo-Italia) is Professor in the Department of Social Science and Innovation at Yachay Tech. He received his PhD Doctor Europeus in Social Psychology from Autònoma University of Barcelona with the thesis 'Emotions and language'. He is member of MIRCo Research Group (Multilingualism, Social Identities, Intercultural Relations and Communication), GESCIT Research Group (Social Studies in Science and Technology), CED Research Group (Centre of Discourse Studies and ISRE - International Society for Research on Emotion). Sponsored by the Spanish Department of Education and Science, he worked as predoctoral fellow at Georgetown University at Washington, DC, University of Manchester, and Manchester Metropolitan University supervised by Rom Harré, Ivan Leudar, and Ian Parker, respectively. He has been visiting professor at University of California, San Diego and Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo, Morelia, thanks to Scholarship for Young Professors and Researchers Banco Santander. He was a postdoctoral researcher at the San Diego State University, Charles III University of Madrid and Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, by Paulo Freire Innovative Technology/Pedagogy Post-doctoral Visiting Scholar and Alliance of 4 Universities, respectively. He has been Invited Professor at University of Bergamo and at University of Helsinki as a Professor in Summer School Course "Emotions and Interculturality". His research is focused to understand why emotions have a strong relationship with language, and how it is possible to express these emotions in online/offline spaces.

Yachay Tech
Social Science Department
San Miguel de Urququí, Hacienda San José s/n
Quito (Ecuador)

UNIVERSIDAD
DE ANTIOQUIA